

Ex1	Question to:	Question:
GCT.2.4	BCKLWN	<p><i>In response to GCT.1.3, the LBKLWN stated that, if not secured by requirement, a S.106 may be required to deliver the Outline Local Air Quality Monitoring Strategy (OLAQMS) which would include: 4x existing NO2 diffusion tubes; Implement a new roadside diffusion tube on the A1101 towards Outwell plus; Provision for Particulate Matter analyser. The Applicant then responded to this issue at Deadline 3 [REP3-041] which highlighted some issues still outstanding. Can the LBKLWN, in light of the latest draft Development Consent Order (dDCO) [REP3-007] and the OLAQMS [REP3-034] and [REP3-035] confirm its position in relation to this issue?</i></p> <p><b>Answer:</b> BCKLWN is content with the approach that the Outline Air Quality Monitoring Strategy (OLAQMS [REP3-304] - [REP3-035]) is delivered through the draft Development Consent Order (dDCO) [REP3-007] rather than through a S. 106 agreement. The reason being is to prevent separate AQ monitoring schemes being developed by both local authorities (FDC and BCKLWN).</p>
AQHH.2.2	Applicant Fenland DC	<p><i>The Applicant's Outline Local Air Quality Monitoring Strategy (LAQMS) [REP3-034] and [REP3-035] states that in para 2.1.4 that the <del>date</del> data collected will be published quarterly on the Applicant's website and, if requested, issued to the relevant planning authority. In goes on to say, in para. 2.1.5 that the Applicant agrees to share by remote secure access the information collected by the LAQMS. Does Fenland DC agree with the wording included here?</i></p> <p><b>Answer:</b> This question was for Applicant and FDC only, however access to the Outline AQMS data as agreed was to be provided jointly to both Fenland DC and BCKLWN as both will be impacted by the plume. We were therefore content with the wording within 2.1.5 on this basis.</p>